

Review

Current Perspective on In Vivo Molecular Imaging of Immune Cells

Anushree Seth ^{1,2,†}, Hye Sun Park ^{1,2,†} and Kwan Soo Hong ^{1,2,3,*}

¹ Bioimaging Research Team, Korea Basic Science Institute, Cheongju 28119, Korea; anushree@kbsi.re.kr (A.S.); hspark@kbsi.re.kr (H.S.P.)

² Immunotherapy Convergence Research Center, Korea Research Institute of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Daejeon 34141, Korea

³ Graduate School of Analytical Science and Technology, Chungnam National University, Daejeon 34134, Korea

* Correspondence: kshong@kbsi.re.kr; Tel.: +82-43-240-5100

† These authors contributed equally to this work.

Academic Editors: Patrick Gamez and Ana B. Caballero

Received: 7 April 2017; Accepted: 19 May 2017; Published: 26 May 2017

Abstract: Contemporaneous development of improved immune cell-based therapies, and powerful imaging tools, has prompted growth in technologies for immune cell tracking in vivo. Over the past couple of decades, imaging tools such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and optical imaging have successfully monitored the trafficking patterns of therapeutic immune cells and assisted the evaluation of the success or failure of immunotherapy. Recent advancements in imaging technology have made imaging an indispensable module of immune cell-based therapies. In this review, emerging applications of non-radiation imaging modalities for the tracking of a range of immune cells are discussed. Applications of MRI, NIR, and other imaging tools have demonstrated the potential of non-invasively surveying the fate of both phagocytic and non-phagocytic immune cells in vivo.

Keywords: cellular imaging; image-tracking; immune cells; magnetic resonance imaging; optical imaging

1. Introduction

Immune cells contribute to the pathogenesis or treatment of a wide variety of diseases, such as autoimmune and infectious diseases, and immunotherapy-based treatments. Components of innate immune systems include monocytes, dendritic cells (DCs), macrophages, granulocytes, natural killer (NK) cells, and other cells. They represent the first line of defense and are less specific than the cells of the adaptive immune system, predominantly comprising T cells and B cells. Each cell type has a well-defined role in the cascade of events that occurs following encounter with a pathogen or transformed cells. For example, (1) macrophages get rid of cell debris and pathogens; (2) DCs and macrophages act as major antigen-presenting cells and direct the inflammatory response by secreting cytokines, and other factors; and (3) T cells and NK cells directly kill virus-infected cells and cancer cells [1]. Apart from these beneficial effects of immune cells, these cells are also known to have unfavorable effects on the human body in certain conditions. For instance, immune cells might become responsive to self-antigens, thereby causing auto-immune diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis, diabetes mellitus type 1, inflammatory bowel disease, Graves' disease, multiple sclerosis, and systemic lupus erythematosus [2]. Furthermore, immune cells such as macrophages and regulatory T cells are known to infiltrate tumor and facilitate tumor growth [3–5]. Immunosuppression is also required during allograft transplantation for preventing graft vs. host reactions. As immune cells are indispensable components of immunotherapeutic interventions and various pathophysiological conditions, tracking

their presence inside the body can provide vital information. For decades, researchers have been looking for novel approaches to track immune cells in their native environment. With the development of immune cell-based therapies, it has become increasingly important to visualize the bio-distribution of the injected cells. The success or failure of immune cell-based therapy can primarily be assessed by tracking the presence of cells at the site of interest.

Non-invasive cell tracking can broadly be classified into two types: direct labeling of cells with a contrast agent (CA) that is imaged using appropriate instruments; or indirect labeling of cells, which includes reporter genes [6–8]. Direct labeling of immune cells with CAs can be either *in vivo* or *ex vivo* as depicted in Figure 1. The various non-radiation based imaging tools being used for immune cell tracking include magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), optical imaging, and bioluminescence imaging [9,10] (Figure 2). While each of these methods have their own limitations and advantages, biocompatibility and non-toxicity of CAs are essential for clinical translation of these imaging approaches. Furthermore, researchers are aiming to improve the specificity of CAs for better image resolution.




Figure 1. Schematic representation of strategies for immune cell tracking by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or optical imaging. (1) A T_1 or T_2 contrast agent is directly injected or (2) formulated as or encapsulated in nanomaterials for (3) *in situ* labeling of immune cells and imaging by MRI or optical imaging; (4) Immune cells can also be harvested and labeled *ex vivo* with (5) a contrast agent or (6) nanoparticles before (7) injection and MRI-based tracking, distribution, and behavior of immune cells *in vivo*.




Figure 2. *In vivo* immune cell tracking by magnetic resonance and optical imaging modalities, for DCs migrating in lymph node (left and middle), and macrophages ($M\Phi$) infiltrated in myocardial infarction (right). Adapted with permission from Kim et al. [11] (Copyright 2016 American Chemical Society) and Kim et al. [12].

2. Imaging Modalities

2.1. MRI (T_1 , T_2)

The human body predominantly consists of water. In the presence of an external magnetic field, hydrogen nuclei (^1H or proton) in the water molecules align with the magnetic field. Signals from fluorinated molecules (^{19}F) can also be used for MRI. ^1H or ^{19}F nuclei are disturbed from this equilibrium by pulsed radio frequency radiation. After the removal of the radio frequency radiation, nuclei reach the equilibrium and induce a short-lived voltage in a receiver antenna; this transient voltage is the nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) signal. MRI is a powerful technique for non-invasive molecular imaging that provides soft tissue contrast with high anatomical resolution without the use of potential toxic radiation [11]. T_1 or T_2 is the time that it takes for the longitudinal or transverse magnetization to decay to 63% or 37% of its original value. These values are characteristics of specific tissues, depending on the main magnetic field strength in a measuring system. Contrast-enhanced MRI using positive (T_1) or negative (T_2) CAs supports the diagnosis of diseases and follow-up evaluations of treatments with detailed tissue contrast. MR CA-based delivery systems for the diagnosis and therapy of cancers have been widely studied, and various CA modifications have been developed for specific cancers [13,14].

With recent developments in the field of cancer immunotherapy, there is increasing interest in MRI-based *in vivo* cell tracking techniques [10,15,16]. Magnetic nanoparticle (MNP)-labeled immune cells have been used to track cell behaviors in deep tissue areas *in vivo* to elucidate cellular immunological processes within diseased tissue environments and draining lymph nodes [17,18]. Wu et al. have monitored migration of MNP-labeled DCs into lymph nodes by *in vivo* T_2 -weighted MRI [18]. MNP labeling of phagocytic immune cells has been successfully performed by controlling their surface characteristics or the addition of transfection agents [6,10,19,20]. Efficient tracking of labeled cells, enabling long-term, sensitive detection *in vivo*, depends on the magnetic properties of MNPs. Superparamagnetic iron oxide (SPIO) nanoparticles as T_2 CAs have been extensively studied in labeling and image-tracking of immune cells, and are a useful tool for medical applications [9,21,22]. Mou et al. have reported SPIO-enhanced green fluorescent protein-labeled DCs homing to the draining lymph nodes by *in vivo* MRI [22]. Moreover, gadolinium chelating T_1 CA demonstrates intense positive signals over background tissues [11]. Meanwhile, non-phagocytic cells such as NK cells are difficult to label with particulate CAs, and therefore require additional treatments such as labeling with cationic materials or electrostatic forces in cellular environments [23,24].

2.2. Optical Imaging

Optical imaging (OI) methods have been widely used for visualizing cells and soft tissues in various biomedical fields. Using visible light (400–700 nm), OI easily distinguishes specific cells or intracellular living organisms simultaneously, along with the selectivity of imaging colors. OI methods include fluorescence and bioluminescence imaging (BLI), which are non-invasive and has reduced damaging effects from radiations by using non-ionization radiation. It enables long-term tracking of cells with sensitive detections, but its limitations are a low penetration depth of only 1–2 mm, and low spatial resolution by scattered lights generated within tissues. In this regard, use of near-infrared (NIR) region (700–1000 nm) in OI is preferred to increase detection sensitivity [25–27].

Organic fluorophores for fluorescence imaging are generally used for staining of nuclei, lysosomes, cell membrane, and various proteins in cellular organisms. For the tracking of immune cells, especially non-phagocytic cells, lipophilic fluorescent dyes have been widely used due to their efficient staining process and tolerable cytotoxic levels [28]. Tavri et al. have reported image-tracking of lipophilic dye, DiD(1,1'-dioctadecyl-3,3',3'-tetramethylindodicarbocyanine)-labeled, tumor-targeted NK cells to human prostate cancer xenografts with *in vivo* fluorescence imaging [28]. However, their usefulness in supplying quantitative information for the tracking cells is limited owing to photo-bleaching or biodegradation after *in vivo* administration.

BLI is an indirect cell labeling with reporter genes, based on the detection of the light generated from various luciferase enzymes, such as firefly luciferase (FLuc), *Renilla* luciferase (RLuc) or bacterial luciferase [29,30]. BLI of immune cells was successfully performed by labeling cells with fluorescent proteins or luciferase reporter genes by transfection, which supports the photo stability of fluorescent signals compared to organic fluorophores [9,30]. BLI can provide images of higher sensitivity compared to fluorescence imaging, by detection of emitting light from specific substrates without the auto fluorescence generated by excitation light.

Another emerging technique for OI is Cerenkov luminescence imaging (CLI), which is based on the detection of visible photons emitted by Cerenkov radiation and provides great potential for rapid application into clinical practice [31].

Image-tracking of immune cells by OI techniques enables in vivo real-time monitoring of therapeutic effects for immune cell-based therapy. For clinical applications, in vivo OI methods could allow easy optimizations of therapeutic immune cells from several candidates of specifically engineered cells.

2.3. Miscellaneous: Upconversion Nanoparticles and Quantum Dots

As an alternative to OI materials, nanocrystal-structured nanomaterials such as quantum dots (QDs) have been developed to improve photo-stability during cell tracking; they possess unique luminescent characteristics and electronic energy properties such as broad absorption spectrum and narrow emission levels, showing high photostability for various biological applications. However, their high cytotoxicity remained a concern for in vivo applications [32]. Recently, upconversion nanoparticles (UCNPs) have attracted increasing attention in the field of immune cell labeling and tracking because of their high resistance to photobleaching and quantitative sensitive detections [33,34]. UCNPs enable NIR-to-NIR imaging by absorbing NIR excitation light and emitting NIR luminescence by an upconversion energy transfer process, which improves signal-to-noise ratio with absence of auto fluorescence [33,35,36]. UCNPs are also useful for multicolor imaging by controlling dopant ions and multimodal imaging with MR, single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT), or computed tomography (CT) imaging [37,38]. Recent studies on immune cell tracking, depending on imaging modalities, cell types, applications, and CAs, are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Recent studies on in vivo immune cell tracking by MR and optical imaging modalities.

Imaging Modality	Type	Labeled Cell Type	Contrast Agent	Animal Model	Applications (Target)	Tracking Time	Administration	Ref.
MR	T ₂	T cell	SPIO	Tumor	B16 melanoma cell	36 h	i.p.	[39]
MR	T ₂	Dendritic cell	SPIO	Immunized	Lymph node mapping	72 h	footpad	[18]
MR	T ₂	NK-92-scFv(MOC31)-zeta cells	SPIO	Tumor	EpCAM-positive DU145 prostate cancer cell	24 h	i.v.	[24]
MR	T ₂	Novel NK cell line (KHYG-1)	USPIO	Tumor	PC-3M human prostate cancer cell	4 days	i.v., i.p., s.c.	[40]
MR/Optical	T ₂ /BLI	Macrophage/monocyte	SPIO	Stroke	Brain imaging	72 h	i.v.	[29]
MR/Optical	T ₁ /FL	Dendritic cell	Gd	Normal	Lymph node mapping	24 h	footpad	[11]
Optical	FL	NK-92-scFv(MOC31)-zeta cells	DiD	Tumor	EpCAM-positive DU145 prostate cancer cell	24 h	i.v.	[28]
Optical	FL	NK92MI	QD	tumor	MeWo human melanoma cell	24 h	i.t.	[41]
Optical	PL	Mouse mesenchymal stem cell	UCNP	Normal	Biodistribution	24 h	s.c.	[34]
Optical	PL	Dendritic cell	UCNP	Immunized	Lymph node mapping	48 h	footpad	[33]

MR: magnetic resonance; BLI: bioluminescence imaging; FL: fluorescence; PL: photoluminescence; Gd: gadolinium; NK: natural killer; DiD: 1,1'-di(1,3,3',3'-tetramethylindodicarbocyanine); EpCAM: epithelial cell adhesion molecule; SPIO: superparamagnetic iron oxide; QD: quantum dot; UCNP: upconversion nanoparticle; USPIO: ultra-small superparamagnetic iron oxide; i.v.: intravenous; i.p.: intratumoral; s.c.: subcutaneous.

3. Applications of Imaging Immune Cells

3.1. Macrophages/Monocytes

3.1.1. Tumor-Associated Macrophages and Immunotherapy

Tumor microenvironments are heterogeneous with a variety of infiltrated cells including macrophages. Macrophages that reside inside or in close proximity to tumors and assist tumor progression are primarily classified as tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs). They facilitate formation of tumors by secreting pro-angiogenic factors and tumor immune evasion, promoting metastasis and neoplastic transformation. TAMs are present in various types of human tumors such as glioblastoma, and lymphoma, breast, prostate, thyroid, and ovarian tumors leading to rapid cancer progression and a decrease in patient survival [5,42]. Labeling TAMs with an appropriate CA for imaging in a non-invasive manner can also help in guiding biopsies. The number of TAMs present in tumor tissue also helps in envisaging the efficiency of therapeutic intervention.

Ultra-small superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (USPIONs) were used for MRI of TAMs in breast cancer [43]. After intravenous injection of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved iron oxide nanoparticle compound ferumoxytol (Feraheme™) it was found to be preferentially taken up by TAMs rather than by breast cancer cells, thus making it a clinically applicable approach. In another study, USPIO were compared with per-fluorocarbon (PFC) agents for imaging TAMs present in breast cancer [44]. While imaging post-USPIO suffered signal loss, ¹⁹F imaging provided better information about spatial distribution and density of TAMs.

Magneto-fluorescent particles (dextran-coated iron oxide core nanoparticles coupled with fluorochrome VT680) were used for non-invasive tracking of TAMs, at different resolutions and using various imaging modalities, e.g., fluorescence molecular tomography (FMT), MRI, and multiphoton and confocal intravital microscopy [45]. Both mesoscopic and macroscopic imaging modalities, e.g., FMT, MRI, and FMT-MRI fusion imaging, were tested for studying the in vivo distribution of AMTA680-labeled TAMs at the whole tumor (or body) level. Using FMT reconstruction and quantification of three-dimensional maps of AMTA680 was possible along with visualization of well-delimited signal foci within the tumor. MRI allowed identification of foci of hyposignal (black) on T₂-weighted images at submillimeter levels. Signal co-localization was illustrated after fusion of FMT and MRI data sets. These nanoparticles enabled the estimation of cellular activity and biodistribution of TAMs.

3.1.2. Cardiovascular Diseases: Myocarditis, Myocardial Infarction, and Aneurysm

Cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging with magneto-fluorescent nanoparticles exemplified a better evaluation of level of inflammation in rats with experimental autoimmune myocarditis (EAM) as compared to conventional CMR [17]. MNP uptake by infiltrating inflammatory cells lead to altered myocardial T₂ effect.

The heart undergoes a wound healing process after ischemia or myocardial infarction, which is initiated by cells of the innate immune system. These cells mainly comprise macrophages that are recruited at the site of injury for phagocytosis of necrotic tissues. Visualization of these recruited macrophages provides important diagnostic information for preventing irreparable heart failure. Gadolinium-loaded liposomes were used as T₁ shortening CA for labeling and imaging of monocytes and/or macrophages [46]. Images of the labeled mice, provided information on the spatiotemporal distribution of macrophages post-myocardial infarction, compared to that of unlabeled mice. MRI for monitoring of cardiovascular pathology has also been tested in humans. A clinical trial investigated the potential of CMR imaging using ferumoxytol, a USPION, for comprehensive characterization of infarct pathology and compared it with gadolinium-based imaging in patients with acute myocardial infarction [47]. It was found that in humans, USPIO-based CAs detected infiltrated macrophages

in myocardial infarct, which subsequently provided a more detailed characterization of myocardial infarct pathology.

3.1.3. Inflammation and Ischemia

SPIOs were used for labeling and tracking of intravenously administered macrophages in renal ischemia-reperfusion mouse models [48]. MRI of macrophages homing to a damaged kidney may enable timely investigation of the pathogenesis of acute kidney injury and provide cues for determining a treatment for acute renal failure. In another study, MRI was combined with NIR fluorescence imaging for tracking of macrophage migration to the site of inflammation [49]. The multimodal imaging nanoparticles offered non-invasive imaging in a living organism, owing to their biocompatibility due to the presence of silica-coated MNPs encapsulating NIR fluorescence dye within the silica shell and magnetic core. The migration of primary macrophages in living mice with acute inflammation induced by an injection of carrageen solution into the footpad was successfully tracked using both MRI and NIR imaging. Moreover, the impact of dexamethasone, a potent steroid hormone with anti-inflammatory effects, on the migration of macrophages to inflammatory lesions was effectively visualized. Several cases on in vivo MR imaging of macrophages are shown in Figure 3.




Figure 3. Schematic representation of various applications of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) for tracking of macrophages. Adapted from Moon et al. [17], Cai et al. [48], and Kang et al. [49] with permission of Springer. MNP: magnetic nanoparticle.

3.2. T Cells

Antigen-specific T-lymphocytes have received considerable attention as a novel modality for cancer therapy [50,51]; however, to better understand the in vivo fate of therapeutic cells including their bio distribution, homing and migration to the target site, there is a need to track these cells non-invasively. A major impediment for a detailed estimation of antitumor efficacy has been the inability to track T lymphocytes in vivo at desirable spatio-temporal resolutions. The existing strategies involve bulk distribution study of radiolabeled cells or bioluminescence imaging of luciferase-transfected cells [52].

A biocompatible, dextran coated SPIO particle was derivatized with a peptide sequence from the HIV-tat protein to improve intracellular magnetic labeling of T lymphocytes [39]. It was found that these nanoparticles exposed the three-dimensional spatial heterogeneity of T-cell recruitment to

tumors and verified the temporal regulation of T-cell recruitment within the tumor. The recruitment of cytotoxic T lymphocytes to tumors over longer times was studied using MRI and it revealed a time-dependent heterogeneity and detection limits. A signal reduction was observed at 48 h after administration, but the same animal showed no signal reduction by MRI and returned to baseline signal intensity at 60 h after adoptive transfer. Distribution of activated PFC-labeled ovalbumin-specific T cells from the T cell receptor-transgenic line OT-1 was determined in vivo by ^{19}F -MRI/MRS (magnetic resonance spectroscopy) [53].

3.3. Dendritic Cells

Immunotherapeutic DCs are being engineered to stimulate helper or killer T cells in vivo. Monitoring in vivo behavior and interaction of DCs is crucial, and various imaging modalities have been reported, including MRI, reporter gene based imaging, gamma scintigraphy with In-111, fluorescence imaging, bioluminescence imaging, QD and positron emission tomography (PET) with ^{18}F . Immature DCs are known to regularly undergo endocytosis for sampling their surrounding environment for potential foreign entities. After encountering any form of danger signal they are expected to undergo activation and maturation, which induces downregulation of their endocytic machinery. Therefore, for efficient labeling of DCs with adequate quantity of CAs, immature DCs are superior to mature ones. Not only the maturation status, but also the timeline (sequential vs. simultaneous) of antigen and contrast agent delivery must be taken in to consideration. Simultaneous delivery of antigen and CA might interfere with antigen processing leading to inappropriate presentation on MHC I (major histocompatibility complex I) and MHC II.

A dual imaging probe including gadolinium and the NIR fluorophore, aza-boron-dipyromethene, was used for tracking DCs in lymph nodes and subsequently could be used in the investigation of advanced immunotherapy [11]. In another study, electrostatically assembled and crosslinked, biocompatible polyelectrolyte-coated MNPs were used for bimodal imaging of DCs in lymph nodes [12]. Bimodal imaging is advantageous as it exploits the complementary strengths of each modality. In a report, iron oxide nanoparticles and indocyanine green in a poly(lactide-co-glycolide) matrix were used for studying migration of DCs via lymphatic drainage in real time [54]. Not only is the mapping of lymph nodes crucial, but the clearance and bio-distribution of CA is also important. Recently, UCNPs were used for development of high-resolution images of mapping and clearance of UCNPs [55].

Interestingly, an MRI reporter gene, human ferritin heavy chain (FTH), was introduced in the DC2.4 cell line using a lentivirus, and was found to be a useful technique for longitudinal monitoring of DCs and estimating their therapeutic efficacy [7]. FTH-DC did not influence the migration, proliferation, and co-stimulatory marker upregulation capacity, which is critical for development of effective DC-based vaccines.

3.4. Natural Killer Cells

Autologous and NK cell line-based immunotherapeutic approaches have drawn significant attention as a powerful strategy for treatment and management of cancer. However, there are only limited reports on in vivo imaging of NK cells, primarily because of difficulties in labeling NK cells with imaging probes. Genetically modified therapeutic NK cells that are capable of targeting tumor-associated antigens need to be monitored in real time in vivo in a non-invasive manner for determining their tumor-homing capacity.

The nonradioactive isotope ^{19}F was optimized in a dose-dependent manner for non-invasively labeling and tracking NK cells [56]. ^{19}F was passively taken up by NK cells, and without affecting viability, cytotoxicity, cytokine secretion and activation. In another report, KHYG-1 NK cells were detected by MRI after subcutaneous injection, but not intravenous or intraperitoneal injection [39]. NK cells are non-phagocytic cells that are difficult to label by simple incubation. Both NK-92 and NK-92-scFv(FRP5)-zeta cells were successfully labeled with ferucarbotran and ferumoxides by

lipofection and electroporation, but not by simple incubation [57]. Furthermore, accumulation of these labeled cells with clinically applicable T₂ CAs in murine tumors can be monitored in vivo with MRI. In a similar study, NK-92-scFv(MOC31)-zeta cells, which express a chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) specific for the tumor-associated EpCAM (epithelial cell adhesion molecule) antigen, were labeled with SPIO ferumoxides and exhibited a progressive and a significant drop in contrast-to-noise-ratio data at 1 h and 24 h post-injection [23]. SPIONs were used for quantitative visualization of transcatheter intra-arterial delivered NK cells to hepatocellular carcinoma, and ΔT_2^* was found to be higher in the tumors than in the normal liver tissue ($p < 0.001$) [58].

Human NK-92MI cells were labeled with an anti-CD56 antibody conjugated with QDs (QD705) without compromising their viability, IFN- γ production, and cytolytic activity. In human malignant melanoma (MeWo) xenografts in mice, the labeled NK cells could be tracked for up to 12 days following intratumoral injection [41]. In another study, fluorophore DiD (1,1'-dioctadecyl-3,3,3',3'-tetramethylindodicarbocyanine)-labeled NK-92-scFv(MOC31)-zeta cells targeting the EpCAM antigen on prostate cancer cells exhibited a substantial increase in tumor fluorescence at 24 h post-injection [28]. Cy5.5-conjugated magnetic iron oxide (Fe₃O₄) nanoparticles controlled the movement of human NK (NK-92MI) cells in vivo under the effect of an external magnetic field and enabled in vivo monitoring using in vivo imaging system [59].

4. Limitations of Existing Cell Tracking Approaches and Future Prospects

For clinical application, an imaging method should be able to evaluate both cellular delivery and therapeutic effectiveness in patients. Moreover, it must be non-invasive and nontoxic, and permit a precise and quantitative assessment of the cell-based therapy. Owing to different membrane properties and differential ability to phagocytose, direct labeling of immune cells ex vivo is a challenging task. In addition, the ability to retain the CA in vivo is advantageous for terminally differentiated cells; otherwise, the signal may be diluted or lost because of cell proliferation or death. ¹⁹F MRI has a detection limit in vivo of approximately 10⁴ cells per cm³, which prevents detection of cells after migration to the tumors post-intravenous or percutaneous injections. Moreover, in cases of labeled cell death, phagocytic cells such as macrophages and DC could take up cell debris and lead to false positive signals. Immune cell therapies with T cells or NK cells in cancer have emerged as promising strategies. One approach is to express CAR on the T cell or NK cell membrane (CAR-T or CAR-NK), which has been widely used to confer a desired specificity as targeted therapy for cancer. However, there are several concerns to address before its clinical applicability such as bio-distribution in the whole body, when and how many transplanted cells infiltrate tumor tissue, cell survival, and anticancer efficacy. To address these issues, multiplexed OI probes can be used for simultaneous in vivo tracking of different cell phenotypes. Following intravenous administration of about 10⁶ cells per mouse, quantitative time/spatial information of the cells using in vivo MRI/OI may provide a systematic selection of parameters for immunotherapy including cell dose, treatment time/interval, choice of CAR, selection of cancer cell type, and combination therapy with chemical drugs or antibody.

5. Conclusions

Overall, for future clinical applications, multimodal imaging (MRI, NIR and UCNP) is anticipated to be the most desirable technique for qualitatively and quantitatively tracking immune cells, by visualization of signal co-localization. The in vivo kinetic behavior of immune cells is intricate and poorly understood. Progress in immune cell-based therapies has encouraged the development of imaging tools with single-cell precision and sensitivity, for guiding and conducting dynamic monitoring of experimental therapies. The detailed understanding gained from the improved imaging modalities will help enrich immune cell based therapeutic approaches, which is likely to provide an impetus towards their clinical application. PFC, SPIO, and NIR-based immune cell imaging has already reached clinical trials and further advancements are expected in time to come.

Acknowledgments: This work was supported by a grant (D37401) from the Korea Basic Science Institute, and the R&D Convergence Program (CRC-15-02-KRIBB) funded by the Korea National Research Council of Science and Technology.

Author Contributions: K.S.H. proposed the project; A.S., H.S.P. and K.S.H. designed the project; all authors prepared and critically evaluated the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Li, C.; Peng, H.; Xu, A.; Wang, S. Immune system and artificial immune system application. In *World Congress on Medical Physics and Biomedical Engineering 2006*; Magjarevic, R., Nagel, J.H., Eds.; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2007; pp. 477–480.
2. Cusick, M.F.; Libbey, J.E.; Fujinami, R.S. Molecular mimicry as a mechanism of autoimmune disease. *Clin. Rev. Allergy Immunol.* **2012**, *42*, 102–111. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
3. Gajewski, T.F.; Schreiber, H.; Fu, Y.-X. Innate and adaptive immune cells in the tumor microenvironment. *Nat. Immunol.* **2013**, *14*, 1014–1022. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
4. Chaudhary, B.; Elkord, E. Regulatory T cells in the tumor microenvironment and cancer progression: Role and therapeutic targeting. *Vaccines* **2016**, *4*, 28. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
5. Pollard, J.W. Tumour-educated macrophages promote tumour progression and metastasis. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **2004**, *4*, 71–78. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
6. Kolosnjaj-Tabi, J.; Wilhelm, C.; Clément, O.; Gazeau, F. Cell labeling with magnetic nanoparticles: Opportunity for magnetic cell imaging and cell manipulation. *J. Nanobiotechnol.* **2013**, *11* (Suppl. 1), S7. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
7. Kim, H.S.; Woo, J.; Lee, J.H.; Joo, H.J.; Choi, Y.; Kim, H.; Moon, W.K.; Kim, S.J. In vivo tracking of dendritic cell using MRI reporter gene, ferritin. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0125291. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
8. Bhirde, A.; Xie, J.; Swierczewska, M.; Chen, X. Nanoparticles for cell labeling. *Nanoscale* **2011**, *3*, 142–153. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
9. Youn, H.; Hong, K.-J. In vivo noninvasive molecular imaging for immune cell tracking in small animals. *Immune Netw.* **2012**, *12*, 223–229. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
10. Ahrens, E.T.; Bulte, J.W.M. Tracking immune cells in vivo using magnetic resonance imaging. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **2013**, *13*, 755–763. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
11. Kim, E.J.; Bhuniya, S.; Lee, H.; Kim, H.M.; Shin, W.S.; Kim, J.S.; Hong, K.S. In vivo tracking of phagocytic immune cells using a dual imaging probe with gadolinium-enhanced MRI and near-infrared fluorescence. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2016**, *8*, 10266–10273. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
12. Kim, H.M.; Noh, Y.-W.; Park, H.S.; Cho, M.Y.; Hong, K.S.; Lee, H.; Shin, D.H.; Kang, J.; Dung, M.H.; Poo, H.; et al. Self-Fluorescence of Chemically Crosslinked MRI Nanoprobes to Enable Multimodal Imaging of Therapeutic Cells. *Small* **2012**, *8*, 666–670. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
13. Bhuniya, S.; Moon, H.; Lee, H.; Hong, K.S.; Lee, S.; Yu, D.-Y.; Kim, J.S. Uridine-based paramagnetic supramolecular nanoaggregate with high relaxivity capable of detecting primitive liver tumor lesions. *Biomaterials* **2011**, *32*, 6533–6540. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
14. Legacz, M.; Roepke, K.; Giersig, M.; Pison, U. Contrast agents and cell labeling strategies for in vivo imaging. *Adv. Nanopart.* **2014**, *3*, 13. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Lee, H.W.; Gangadaran, P.; Kalimuthu, S.; Ahn, B.-C. Advances in molecular imaging strategies for in vivo tracking of immune cells. *BioMed Res. Int.* **2016**, *2016*, 10. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
16. Lucignani, G.; Ottobrini, L.; Martelli, C.; Rescigno, M.; Clerici, M. Molecular imaging of cell-mediated cancer immunotherapy. *Trends Biotechnol.* **2006**, *24*, 410–418. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
17. Moon, H.; Park, H.E.; Kang, J.; Lee, H.; Cheong, C.; Lim, Y.T.; Ihm, S.H.; Seung, K.-B.; Jaffer, F.A.; Narula, J.; et al. Noninvasive assessment of myocardial inflammation by cardiovascular magnetic resonance in a rat model of experimental autoimmune myocarditis. *Circulation* **2012**, *125*, 2603–2612. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
18. Wu, C.; Xu, Y.; Yang, L.; Wu, J.; Zhu, W.; Li, D.; Cheng, Z.; Xia, C.; Guo, Y.; Gong, Q.; et al. Negatively charged magnetite nanoparticle clusters as efficient MRI probes for dendritic cell labeling and in vivo tracking. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2015**, *25*, 3581–3591. [[CrossRef](#)]

19. Williams, J.B.; Ye, Q.; Hitchens, T.K.; Kaufman, C.L.; Ho, C. MRI detection of macrophages labeled using micrometer-sized iron oxide particles. *J. Magn. Reson. Imaging* **2007**, *25*, 1210–1218. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
20. Weissleder, R.; Nahrendorf, M.; Pittet, M.J. Imaging macrophages with nanoparticles. *Nat. Mater.* **2014**, *13*, 125–138. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
21. Wang, Y.X.J. Superparamagnetic iron oxide based MRI contrast agents: Current status of clinical application. *Quant. Imaging Med. Surg.* **2011**, *1*, 35–40. [[PubMed](#)]
22. Mou, Y.; Hou, Y.; Chen, B.; Hua, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Xie, H.; Xia, G.; Wang, Z.; Huang, X.; Han, W.; et al. In vivo migration of dendritic cells labeled with synthetic superparamagnetic iron oxide. *Int. J. Nanomed.* **2011**, *6*, 2633–2640.
23. Meier, R.; Golovko, D.; Tavri, S.; Henning, T.D.; Knopp, C.; Piontek, G.; Rudelius, M.; Heinrich, P.; Wels, W.S.; Daldrup-Link, H. Depicting adoptive immunotherapy for prostate cancer in an animal model with magnetic resonance imaging. *Magn. Reson. Med.* **2011**, *65*, 756–763. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
24. Galli, F.; Histed, S.N.; Aras, O. NK cell imaging by in vitro and in vivo labelling approaches. *Q. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* **2014**, *58*, 276–283. [[PubMed](#)]
25. Frangioni, J.V. In vivo near-infrared fluorescence imaging. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* **2003**, *7*, 626–634. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
26. Imamura, Y.; Yamada, S.; Tsuboi, S.; Nakane, Y.; Tsukasaki, Y.; Komatsuzaki, A.; Jin, T. Near-infrared emitting PbS quantum dots for in vivo fluorescence imaging of the thrombotic state in septic mouse Brain. *Molecules* **2016**, *21*, 1080. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
27. Lu, L.; Lv, F.; Cao, B.; He, X.; Liu, T. Saccharide substituted zinc phthalocyanines: Optical properties, interaction with bovine serum albumin and near infrared fluorescence imaging for sentinel lymph nodes. *Molecules* **2014**, *19*, 525–537. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
28. Tavri, S.; Jha, P.; Meier, R.; Henning, T.D.; Müller, T.; Hostetter, D.; Knopp, C.; Johansson, M.; Reinhart, V.; Boddington, S.; et al. Optical imaging of cellular immunotherapy against prostate cancer. *Mol. Imaging* **2009**, *8*, 15–26. [[PubMed](#)]
29. Selt, M.; Tennstaedt, A.; Beyrau, A.; Nelles, M.; Schneider, G.; Löwik, C.; Hoehn, M. In vivo non-invasive tracking of macrophage recruitment to experimental stroke. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e0156626. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
30. Kim, J.E.; Kalimuthu, S.; Ahn, B.C. In vivo cell tracking with bioluminescence imaging. *Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* **2015**, *49*, 3–10. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
31. Grootendorst, M.R.; Cariati, M.; Kothari, A.; Tuch, D.S.; Purushotham, A. Cerenkov luminescence imaging (CLI) for image-guided cancer surgery. *Clin. Transl. Imaging* **2016**, *4*, 353–366. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
32. Valizadeh, A.; Mikaeili, H.; Samiei, M.; Farkhani, S.M.; Zarghami, N.; Kouhi, M.; Akbarzadeh, A.; Davaran, S. Quantum dots: Synthesis, bioapplications, and toxicity. *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* **2012**, *7*, 480. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
33. Xiang, J.; Xu, L.; Gong, H.; Zhu, W.; Wang, C.; Xu, J.; Feng, L.; Cheng, L.; Peng, R.; Liu, Z. Antigen-loaded upconversion nanoparticles for dendritic cell stimulation, tracking, and vaccination in dendritic cell-based immunotherapy. *ACS Nano* **2015**, *9*, 6401–6411. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
34. Wang, C.; Cheng, L.; Xu, H.; Liu, Z. Towards whole-body imaging at the single cell level using ultra-sensitive stem cell labeling with oligo-arginine modified upconversion nanoparticles. *Biomaterials* **2012**, *33*, 4872–4881. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
35. Zhao, L.; Kutikov, A.; Shen, J.; Duan, C.; Song, J.; Han, G. Stem cell labeling using polyethylenimine conjugated (α -NaYbF₄:Tm³⁺)/CaF₂ upconversion nanoparticles. *Theranostics* **2013**, *3*, 249–257. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
36. Park, Y.I.; Lee, K.T.; Suh, Y.D.; Hyeon, T. Upconverting nanoparticles: A versatile platform for wide-field two-photon microscopy and multi-modal in vivo imaging. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2015**, *44*, 1302–1317. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
37. Chen, F.; Bu, W.; Zhang, S.; Liu, J.; Fan, W.; Zhou, L.; Peng, W.; Shi, J. Gd³⁺-ion-doped upconversion nanoprobe: Relaxivity mechanism probing and sensitivity optimization. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2013**, *23*, 298–307. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Sun, Y.; Zhu, X.; Peng, J.; Li, F. Core-shell lanthanide upconversion nanophosphors as four-modal probes for tumor angiogenesis imaging. *ACS Nano* **2013**, *7*, 11290–11300. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

39. Kircher, M.F.; Allport, J.R.; Graves, E.E.; Love, V.; Josephson, L.; Lichtman, A.H.; Weissleder, R. High resolution three-dimensional imaging of antigen-specific cytotoxic T-lymphocyte trafficking to tumors. *Cancer Res.* **2003**, *63*, 6838–6846. [[PubMed](#)]
40. Mallett, C.L.; McFadden, C.; Chen, Y.; Foster, P.J. Migration of iron-labeled KHYG-1 natural killer cells to subcutaneous tumors in nude mice, as detected by magnetic resonance imaging. *Cytotherapy* **2012**, *14*, 743–751. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
41. Lim, Y.T.; Cho, M.Y.; Noh, Y.W.; Chung, J.W.; Chung, B.H. Near-infrared emitting fluorescent nanocrystals-labeled natural killer cells as a platform technology for the optical imaging of immunotherapeutic cells-based cancer therapy. *Nanotechnology* **2009**, *20*, 475102. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
42. Chen, J.J.W.; Lin, Y.C.; Yao, P.L.; Yuan, A.; Chen, H.Y.; Shun, C.T.; Tsai, M.F.; Chen, C.H.; Yang, P.C. Tumor-associated macrophages: The double-edged sword in cancer progression. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **2005**, *23*, 953–964. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
43. Daldrup-Link, H.E.; Golovko, D.; Ruffell, B.; DeNardo, D.G.; Castaneda, R.; Ansari, C.; Rao, J.; Tikhomirov, G.A.; Wendland, M.F.; Corot, C.; et al. MRI of tumor-associated macrophages with clinically applicable iron oxide nanoparticles. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2011**, *17*, 5695–5704. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
44. Makela, A.V.; Gaudet, J.M.; Foster, P.J. Quantifying tumor associated macrophages in breast cancer: A comparison of iron and fluorine-based MRI cell tracking. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 42109. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
45. Leimgruber, A.; Berger, C.; Cortez-Retamozo, V.; Etzrodt, M.; Newton, A.P.; Waterman, P.; Figueiredo, J.L.; Kohler, R.H.; Elpek, N.; Mempel, T.R.; et al. Behavior of endogenous tumor-associated macrophages assessed in vivo using a functionalized nanoparticle. *Neoplasia* **2009**, *11*, 459–468. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
46. Naresh, N.K.; Xu, Y.; Klibanov, A.L.; Vandsburger, M.H.; Meyer, C.H.; Leor, J.; Kramer, C.M.; French, B.A.; Epstein, F.H. Monocyte and/or macrophage infiltration of heart after myocardial infarction: MR imaging by using T₁-shortening liposomes. *Radiology* **2012**, *264*, 428–435. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
47. Yilmaz, A.; Dengler, M.A.; van der Kuip, H.; Yildiz, H.; Rösch, S.; Klumpp, S.; Klingel, K.; Kandolf, R.; Helluy, X.; Hiller, K.-H.; et al. Imaging of myocardial infarction using ultrasmall superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles: A human study using a multi-parametric cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging approach. *Eur. Heart J.* **2013**, *34*, 462–475. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
48. Cai, Q.-Y.; Lee, H.; Kim, E.-J.; Moon, H.; Chang, K.; Rho, J.; Hong, K.S. Magnetic resonance imaging of superparamagnetic iron oxide-labeled macrophage infiltrates in acute-phase renal ischemia-reperfusion mouse model. *Nanomed. Nanotechnol. Biol. Med.* **2012**, *8*, 365–373. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
49. Kang, S.; Lee, H.W.; Jeon, Y.H.; Singh, T.D.; Choi, Y.J.; Park, J.Y.; Kim, J.S.; Lee, H.; Hong, K.S.; Lee, I.; et al. Combined fluorescence and magnetic resonance imaging of primary macrophage migration to sites of acute inflammation using near-infrared fluorescent magnetic nanoparticles. *Mol. Imaging Biol.* **2015**, *17*, 643–651. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
50. Wen, Y.-J.; Min, R.; Tricot, G.; Barlogie, B.; Yi, Q. Tumor lysate-specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes in multiple myeloma: Promising effector cells for immunotherapy. *Blood* **2002**, *99*, 3280–3285. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
51. Manzo, T.; Heslop, H.E.; Rooney, C.M. Antigen-specific T cell therapies for cancer. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **2015**, *24*, R67–R73. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
52. Hardy, J.; Edinger, M.; Bachmann, M.H.; Negrin, R.S.; Fathman, C.G.; Contag, C.H. Bioluminescence imaging of lymphocyte trafficking in vivo. *Exp. Hematol.* **2001**, *29*, 1353–1360. [[CrossRef](#)]
53. Gonzales, C.; Yoshihara, H.A.I.; Dilek, N.; Leignadier, J.; Irving, M.; Mieville, P.; Helm, L.; Michielin, O.; Schwitler, J. In vivo detection and tracking of T cells in various organs in a melanoma tumor model by ¹⁹F-fluorine MRS/MRI. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e0164557. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
54. Lim, Y.T.; Noh, Y.W.; Han, J.H.; Cai, Q.Y.; Yoon, K.H.; Chung, B.H. Biocompatible polymer-nanoparticle-based bimodal imaging contrast agents for the labeling and tracking of dendritic cells. *Small* **2008**, *4*, 1640–1645. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
55. Park, H.S.; Nam, S.H.; Kim, J.; Shin, H.S.; Suh, Y.D.; Hong, K.S. Clear-cut observation of clearance of sustainable upconverting nanoparticles from lymphatic system of small living mice. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 27407. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
56. Bouchlaka, M.N.; Ludwig, K.D.; Gordon, J.W.; Kutz, M.P.; Bednarz, B.P.; Fain, S.B.; Capitini, C.M. ¹⁹F-MRI for monitoring human NK cells in vivo. *OncoImmunology* **2016**, *5*, e1143996. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

57. Daldrup-Link, H.E.; Meier, R.; Rudelius, M.; Piontek, G.; Piert, M.; Metz, S.; Settles, M.; Uherek, C.; Wels, W.; Schlegel, J.; et al. In vivo tracking of genetically engineered, anti-HER2/neu directed natural killer cells to HER2/neu positive mammary tumors with magnetic resonance imaging. *Eur. Radiol.* **2005**, *15*, 4–13. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
58. Sheu, A.Y.; Zhang, Z.; Omary, R.A.; Larson, A.C. MRI-monitored transcatheter intra-arterial delivery of SPIO-labeled natural killer cells to hepatocellular carcinoma: Preclinical studies in a rodent model. *Investig. Radiol.* **2013**, *48*, 492–499. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
59. Jang, E.S.; Shin, J.H.; Ren, G.; Park, M.J.; Cheng, K.; Chen, X.; Wu, J.C.; Sunwoo, J.B.; Cheng, Z. The manipulation of natural killer cells to target tumor sites using magnetic nanoparticles. *Biomaterials* **2012**, *33*, 5584–5592. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]



© 2017 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).